

## IPSASB DUE PROCESS CHECKLIST

**Project:** IPSAS [X], *Property, Plant, and Equipment*

The IPSAS [X], *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, project was initially three distinct projects:

- Heritage assets;
- Infrastructure assets; and
- Measurement.

Each project was initially managed independently and each began at different times. In March 2020, the IPSASB determined all three projects would each result in amendments to IPSAS 17, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, and concluded the projects should be coordinated and exposed together to ensure stakeholders can consider revisions holistically. The heritage and infrastructure projects were combined into the *Property, Plant, and Equipment* project, and amendments to IPSAS 17 as a result of the measurement project were reflected directly as part of the exposure of IPSAS [X], *Property, Plant, and Equipment*.

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
<b>A. Project Brief</b>			
A1.	A proposal for the project (project brief) has been prepared, that highlights key issues the project seeks to address.	Yes	<p>The updates to IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> arose from the Heritage, Infrastructure and Measurement projects. In <a href="#">March 2020 Agenda Item 4</a> the IPSASB agreed that these projects required an integrated approach (see <a href="#">section 4 of the March 2020 minutes</a>). The projects briefs were approved</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heritage project brief at the June 2015 meeting (see <a href="#">section 10 of the June 2015 minutes</a>).</li> <li>• Infrastructure project brief at the June 2019 meeting (see <a href="#">section 8 of the June 2019 minutes</a>); and</li> <li>• Measurement project brief at the June 2015 meeting (see <a href="#">section 10 of the June 2015 minutes</a>).</li> </ul>
A2.	The IPSASB has approved the project in a public meeting.	Yes	<p>The IPSASB approved the</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Heritage project brief at the June 2015 meeting (see <a href="#">section 10 of the June 2015 minutes</a>).</li> <li>• Infrastructure project brief at the June 2019 meeting (see <a href="#">section 8 of the June 2019 minutes</a>); and</li> <li>• Measurement project brief at the June 2015 meeting (see <a href="#">section 10 of the June 2015 minutes</a>).</li> </ul>

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
A3.	The IPSASB CAG has been consulted on the project brief.	Yes	<p>CAG was consulted at the December 2017 (Infrastructure and Heritage) CAG meeting (see sections 6 &amp; 7 respectively of the <a href="#">December 2017 CAG minutes</a>).</p> <p>The updates to IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> arose from the Heritage, Infrastructure and Measurement projects. See A1, for details regarding the IPSASB decision to consolidate the projects to amend its Property, Plant, and Equipment guidance.</p>
<b>B. Development of Proposed International Standard</b>			
B1.	The IPSASB has considered whether to issue a consultation paper or undertake other outreach activities to solicit views on matters under consideration from constituents.	Yes	<p>The IPSASB issued the <a href="#">consultation paper CP</a>, <i>Financial Reporting for Heritage in the Public Sector</i> in April 2017. This CP included proposals related to IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i>.</p> <p>The <a href="#">minutes of the March 2017 IPSASB meeting</a> (section 6) document the IPSASB review and approval of the CP issued.</p> <p>The IPSASB analyzed challenges in applying IPSAS 17 to infrastructure assets (Sep 2019 - <a href="#">Agenda Item 6.2.1</a>) identified by constituents.</p>

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
B2.	If comments have been received through a consultation paper or other public forum, they have been considered in the same manner as comments received on an exposure draft.	Yes	<p>At its December 2017 meeting, the IPSASB undertook a preliminary review of responses to the heritage CP (see <a href="#">Agenda Item 7</a> and <a href="#">section 7 of the minutes</a>).</p> <p>The IPSASB further discussed the responses received at its</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• March 2019 – <a href="#">Agenda 7 (Heritage)</a> (section 7 of the <a href="#">minutes</a>),</li> <li>• June 2019 – <a href="#">Agenda 8 (Infrastructure)</a> and <a href="#">Agenda 9 (Heritage)</a> (section 8 &amp; 9 respectively of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> <li>• September 2019 – <a href="#">Agenda 6 (Infrastructure)</a> and <a href="#">Agenda 11 (Heritage)</a> (section 6 &amp; 11 respectively of the <a href="#">minutes</a>);</li> <li>• December 2019 – <a href="#">Agenda 12 (Infrastructure)</a> and <a href="#">Agenda 13 (Heritage)</a> (section 12 &amp; 13 respectively of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> <li>• March 2020 – <a href="#">Agenda 6 (Infrastructure)</a> and <a href="#">Agenda 7 (Heritage)</a> (section 6 &amp; 7 respectively of the <a href="#">minutes</a>);</li> <li>• June 2020 – <a href="#">Agenda 9 (Infrastructure)</a> and <a href="#">Agenda 10 (Heritage)</a> (section 9 &amp; 10 respectively of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> <li>• July 2020 – <a href="#">Agenda 2</a> (ED 78, Property, Plant, and Equipment) (section 2 of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> <li>• September 2020 – <a href="#">Agenda 9</a> (section 9 of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> <li>• December 2020 – <a href="#">Agenda 5</a> (section 5 of the <a href="#">minutes</a>)</li> </ul>
B3.	The IPSASB CAG has been consulted on significant issues during the development of the exposure draft.	Yes	<p>The CAG's advice was sought at</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• December 2017 (<a href="#">Infrastructure</a> and <a href="#">Heritage</a>) - (see sections 6 &amp; 7 respectively of the minutes of the <a href="#">December 2017</a> CAG meeting);</li> <li>• <a href="#">June 2019</a> (Heritage) - (see section 7 of the minutes of the <a href="#">June 2019</a> CAG meeting);</li> <li>• <a href="#">June 2020</a> (ED 78, <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i>) - (see section 4 of the minutes of the <a href="#">June 2020</a> CAG meeting)</li> </ul>

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
B4.	The IPSASB has approved the issue of the exposure draft.	Yes	<p>The IPSASB considered an issues paper and draft exposure draft (ED) for approval at its December 2020 meeting:</p> <p><a href="https://www.ifac.org/system/files/meetings/files/5-ED-78_Final_0.pdf">https://www.ifac.org/system/files/meetings/files/5-ED-78_Final_0.pdf</a></p> <p>The IPSASB preliminary approved the ED at its December 2020 meeting.</p> <p>See the <a href="#">December 2020 minutes (section 5)</a>.</p> <p>The IPSASB agreed that <a href="#">all items “subject to”</a> the preliminary approval of ED 78 in December had been finalized.</p> <p>See the <a href="#">February 2021 minutes (section 1)</a></p>
<b>C. Public Exposure</b>			
C1.	The approved exposure draft has been posted to the IPSAS website for public comment for an appropriate period.	Yes	<p>The ED was published in April 2021. Comments were requested by October 25, 2021.</p> <p><a href="https://www.ipsasb.org/publications/exposure-draft-ed-78-property-plant-and-equipment">https://www.ipsasb.org/publications/exposure-draft-ed-78-property-plant-and-equipment</a></p>
C2.	Comments on the exposure draft have been posted to the IPSASB website after the end of the exposure period.	Yes	<p>43 comment letters were received. All responses were made available publicly on the <a href="#">IPSASB website</a>.</p>
<b>D. Consideration of Respondents’ Comments on an Exposure Draft</b>			
D1.	Staff have provided the IPSASB, as part of the public agenda papers, with an analysis summarizing the significant issues raised by respondents, outlined their proposed disposition, and, as appropriate, explained why significant changes recommended by respondents have or have not been accepted.	Yes	<p>The issues raised by respondents to the ED were presented to the IPSASB. See</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Agenda Item 7</a> of the June 2022 meeting; and</li> <li>• <a href="#">Agenda Item 5</a> of the September 2022 meeting; and</li> <li>• <a href="#">Agenda Item 4</a> of the December 2022 meeting.</li> </ul>
D2.	The IPSASB has deliberated significant matters raised in the comment letters, and significant decisions have been minuted.	Yes	<p>The IPSASB deliberated the issues raised in the comment letters at its June, September and December 2022 meeting. See</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Section 7 of the June 2022 minutes</a>; and</li> <li>• <a href="#">Section 5 of the September 2022 minutes</a></li> <li>• Section 4 of the December 2022 minutes (to be approved and posted following the March 2023 meeting).</li> </ul>

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
D3.	The IPSASB has considered whether there are any issues raised by respondents, in addition to those summarized by Staff, that it considers should have been discussed by the IPSASB.	Yes	The IPSASB considered whether there were any issues raised by respondents, that should be discussed, other than those raised by staff. The IPSASB was comfortable that all issues raised by respondents were discussed. See <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Section 7 of the June 2022 minutes</a>;</li> <li>• <a href="#">Section 5 of the September 2022 minutes</a></li> <li>• Section 4 of the December 2022 minutes (to be approved and posted following the March 2023 meeting).</li> </ul>
D4.	The IPSASB CAG has been consulted on significant issues raised by respondents to the exposure draft and the IPSASB's related responses.	Yes	No major public interest issues were identified in the responses. The IPSASB CAG was updated on the responses in the session on the Program and Technical Director's Report. See December 2021 <a href="#">Agenda Item 4</a> .
D5.	Significant comments received through consultation with the IPSASB CAG are brought to the IPSASB's attention. Staff have reported back to the IPSASB CAG the results of the IPSASB's deliberations on those comments received from the CAG.	N/A	N/A – see D4.
D6.	The IPSASB has assessed whether there has been substantial change to the exposed document such that re-exposure is necessary.	Yes	The Program and Technical Director provided his assessment that there had been no substantial change to the exposed document such that re-exposure is necessary. The IPSASB members agreed with this assessment. See section 4 of the December 2022 minutes (to be approved and posted following the March 2023 meeting).
D7.	If applicable, the IPSASB has voted on a resolution in favor of re-exposure.	N/A	N/A – no re-exposure required. See D6
D8.	The basis of the IPSASB's decision with respect to re-exposure has been minuted.	N/A	N/A – no re-exposure required. See D6

#	Due Process Requirement	Yes/No	Comments
D9.	If the exposure draft has been re-exposed, the explanatory memorandum accompanying the re-exposure draft explained the reasoning for re-exposure and the changes made as a result of the earlier exposure.	N/A	N/A – no re-exposure required. See D6
<b>E. Approval</b>			
E1.	The Program and Technical Director has confirmed to the IPSASB that due process has been followed effectively the final standard is approved for issuance.	Yes	<p>The Program and Technical Director asserted that due process had been followed effectively, in that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An ED had been issued for consultation;</li> <li>• Responses to the ED were received and made publicly available on the IPSASB website;</li> <li>• The IPSASB had deliberated significant matters raised in the comment letters, and significant decisions will be minute; and</li> <li>• The IPSASB had considered whether there are any issues raised by respondents, in addition to those summarized by Staff, that it considers should have been discussed by the IPSASB and agreed there were none.</li> </ul> <p>See section 4 of the December 2022 minutes (to be approved and posted following the March 2023 meeting).</p>
E2.	The IPSASB has approved the final revised content of the exposed standard in accordance with its Terms of Reference.	Yes	The IPSASB approved the final revised text of Amendments to IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> including the Basis for Conclusions. There were 16 members in agreement, 1 member against, 1 member abstained. The approval vote will be reflected in Appendix 2 of the December 2022 minutes (to be approved and posted following the March 2023 meeting).
E3.	If applicable, the IPSASB has set an effective date for application of the final standard.	Yes	The effective date for IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> is to be aligned with IPSAS [Y], <i>Measurement</i> .
E4.	The IPSASB's basis for conclusions has been prepared and included in the final standard.	Yes	The basis for conclusions are included in the amendments to IPSAS [X], <i>Property, Plant, and Equipment</i> pronouncement.

Completed by: IPSASB Staff

as of January 9th, 2023.