

ACCOUNTING FOR CONTINGENCIES AND FINANCIAL GUARANTEES

How do IPSAS Standards deal with contingencies and financial guarantees?

Insight into how International Public Sector Accounting Standards™ (IPSAS® Accounting Standards) reflect the accounting consequences of contingencies and financial guarantees.

Effective public financial management requires transparency and a strong understanding of the economic reality of an entity. Many governments and public sector entities have been innovative in how they provided interventions to deliver support to their constituents, including using what are called contingencies in the Government Finance Statistics Manual 2014 (GFSM 2014) that include transactions such as loan guarantees which have specific guidance in IPSAS Standards. The use of contingencies and loan guarantees to intervene and provide support may be seen as “costless” because of the lack of initial cash outflows. However, such interventions introduce significant financial risks that should be appropriately reported.



To provide a complete and more transparent picture of an entity's economic position and a better understanding of its risks, the accounting for contingencies and loan guarantees under IPSAS Standards often occurs at the inception of these items. This is because these items can introduce significant financial risks which need to be reflected in the financial statements to present the true financial position of the entity.

This Q&A highlights issues which may be encountered when accounting for contingencies and loan guarantees. While not exhaustive, it identifies several IPSAS Standards which may be applicable in order to capture the economic consequences of the different types of transactions. It does not reference any specific transaction, nor is it intended to be used as application or implementation guidance. The terms and conditions of specific transactions can be highly complex and wide-ranging.

Q1. What are the different types of contingencies and financial guarantees addressed by IPSAS?

Under IPSAS Standards, contingencies and financial guarantees are typically addressed by the following Standards:

- **IPSAS 19, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets:** Contingent liabilities and provisions are accounted for under IPSAS 19 and are discussed in more detail in Question 2 below; and
- **IPSAS 41, Financial Instruments:** Financial guarantees fall within the scope of IPSAS 41. The accounting for financial guarantees is discussed in Questions 3 and 4 below.

An entity needs to consider the facts and circumstances and apply judgment to determine which IPSAS Standard is applicable, and the following questions may help the entity make this determination. Once an entity determines which IPSAS Standard is applicable, it applies the guidance from that standard:

1. Is the guarantee a financial guarantee contract within the scope of IPSAS 41? If so, IPSAS 41 is applicable, and more considerations are provided in Questions 3 and 4 below.
2. Is the guarantee a loan commitment, derivative, or financial liability within the scope of IPSAS 41? If so, paragraph 4 of IPSAS 41 provides guidance on these items.
3. Is the guarantee part of a revenue contract within the scope of IPSAS 47, *Revenue*? In situations where the counterparty to the guarantee is a purchaser of goods or services that are outputs of the entity's activities, and the guarantee is not within the scope of other IPSAS Standards, IPSAS 47 may apply.
4. Does the guarantee give rise to a provision, contingent liability, or contingent asset within the scope of IPSAS 19? If so, IPSAS 19 is applicable, and more details are provided in Question 2 below.
5. Is the guarantee an insurance contract within the scope of a relevant international or national accounting standard dealing with insurance contracts? Although there is currently no IPSAS Standard specifically on insurance contracts, an entity could apply the definitions and guidance in IFRS 17, *Insurance Contracts*, through the hierarchy in IPSAS 3, *Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors*, to determine if the guarantee is an insurance contract, which is defined as 'a contract under which the issuer accepts significant insurance risk from the policyholder by agreeing to compensate the policyholder if a specified uncertain future event adversely affects the policyholder.'

Q2. How are contingent liabilities accounted for under IPSAS 19?

A contingent liability is defined as:

- A possible obligation that arises from past events, whose existence will be confirmed by one or more uncertain future events that are not wholly within the control of the reporting entity; or
- A present obligation that arises from past events but is not recognized because it is not probable that an outflow of resources will be required, or the amount of the obligation cannot be reliably measured.

Under IPSAS 19, contingent liabilities are not recognized but are required to be disclosed in the notes of the financial statements. In addition, IPSAS 19 provides guidance for provisions, which are recognized liabilities of uncertain timing or amount.

The relationship between contingent liabilities and provisions is as follows:

- For all potential outflows where the probability of outflow is remote, no accounting or disclosures are required.
- If the potential outflow arises from a possible obligation—that is, the past event which gives rise to the obligation has not occurred yet—and the probability of outflow is not remote, the potential outflow is a contingent liability and is disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.
- If the potential outflow arises from a present obligation—that is, the past obligating event has already occurred—but the outflow is not probable or the amount of the outflow cannot be reliably measured, the potential outflow is also considered a contingent liability that should be disclosed.
- In cases where there is a present obligation, the probability of outflow is likely, and the amount of the outflow can be reliably estimated, the potential outflow is a provision that is recognized as a liability in the financial statements.

Q3. *How are financial guarantees accounted for under the IPSAS Standards?*

A financial guarantee is defined in IPSAS 41 as a contract that requires the issuer to make specified payments to reimburse the holder for a loss it incurs because a specified debtor fails to make payment when due in accordance with the original or modified terms of a debt instrument.

The accounting for financial guarantees depends on whether the transaction arises from an exchange or non-exchange transaction:

- For financial guarantees originating from an exchange transaction—that is, a transaction where an entity receives consideration (i.e., a fee) in exchange for the issuance of the guarantee—the financial guarantee is initially recognized upon issuance and measured at the amount of consideration received; and
- For financial guarantees arising from a non-market transaction, including non-exchange transactions, the financial guarantee is recognized upon issuance and measured at fair value. To determine fair value, an entity considers whether there are quoted prices available in an active market for a directly equivalent financial guarantee. Where there is no active market for a directly equivalent contract, the entity considers whether a valuation technique can provide a reliable measure of fair value. If no reliable measure of fair value can be determined, an entity is required to measure the financial guarantee contract at the amount of the loss allowance which reflects the potential outflows from the contract.

For guarantees arising from both exchange and non-exchange transactions, the guarantee is subsequently measured at the higher of:

- The estimate of a loss allowance reflecting potential outflows from the guarantee; and
- The initially recognized amount less any amounts recognized as revenue in accordance with the principles in IPSAS 47. That is, the fee is amortized into revenue over the life of the guarantee.

If a financial guarantee is called, the financial liability representing the guarantee is adjusted to the amount that the guarantor is required to pay. Due to the measurement requirements noted above, there may be situations where the estimated loss allowance has already been adjusted prior to the formal notice of calling upon the guarantee. The guarantor continues to account for the financial liability in accordance with the terms of the guarantee contract (for example, if the contract requires immediate payment, the financial liability is presented as a current liability). If the guarantor and the lender decide to renegotiate the terms of the payment, the guidance on derecognition of financial liabilities is applied to determine if the renegotiation resulted in a modification or an extinguish followed by the issuance of new debt.

In addition, IPSAS 30, *Financial Instruments: Disclosures*, requires the disclosure of information relating to the exposure to credit and liquidity risks arising from financial guarantees, including the maximum amount that the entity could have to pay if the guarantee is called.

Q4. How is the loss allowance for a financial guarantee measured?

The expected credit loss model is meant to reflect an entity's true economic position by ensuring that it recognizes an appropriate estimate of its credit losses. Generally, an allowance for expected credit losses is initially estimated based on the 12-month expected credit losses arising from the financial guarantee contract. If the credit risk associated with the guaranteed instrument has significantly increased, the loss allowance is required to be measured using the lifetime expected credit losses of the guarantee. To determine if a significant increase in credit risk has occurred, the entity considers changes in the probability of default occurring over the term of the guarantee.

When a financial guarantee relates to an instrument that is already credit-impaired upon the initial recognition of the guarantee, the loss allowance is estimated using the lifetime expected credit losses. This scenario may be applicable to financial guarantees that were issued to support entities that are in financial distress.

The above measurement requirements are meant to reflect the economic risks of issuing a financial guarantee. As a guarantee becomes more and more likely to be called, the estimated loss allowance and the corresponding liability will increase towards the maximum amount that the guarantor is liable to pay upon a default in accordance with the terms of the guarantee.

Q5. Are there any differences between IPSAS Standards and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) that should be considered when determining the accounting treatments of contingencies?

In general no, as many IPSAS Standards draw upon existing IFRS where these are relevant and are developed using IPSASB's *Process for Reviewing and Adapting IASB Documents*¹. However, the IPSAS Standards also includes wholly public sector-specific guidance on transactions, including the accounting for financial guarantee contracts arising from non-exchange transactions, as discussed in Q3.

Q6. Are the requirements in IPSAS Standards consistent with GFSM 2014?

It depends on the facts and circumstances of the transactions and the nature of the contingencies. Key similarities and differences are as follows:

- IPSAS 19 requires the recognition of provisions whereas statistical guidelines do not recognize provisions, except for standardized guarantees where a financial liability is recognized.

¹ <https://www.ipsasb.org/publications/process-reviewing-and-adapting-iasb-documents>

- Both IPSAS 19 and statistical guidelines require the disclosure of contingent liabilities.
- IPSAS 41 provides a single accounting model for financial guarantees (see answers to Q3 and Q4). Whereas statistical guidelines provide a dual accounting model depending on whether it is a one-off guarantee or a standardized guarantee.
- IPSAS 41 requires all financial guarantees to be recognized at inception. Similarly, under statistical guidelines, standardized guarantees are recognized at inception, whereas one-off guarantees of entities that are not in financial distress are only recognized when the guarantee is called.

Looking Forward

As governments continue to innovate and move away from direct funding when providing support, these programs may have a novel impact on the financial position of public sector entities. The financial risks that various support schemes have on public sector entities means more than ever that transparent accounting is needed to ensure that entities and their users are aware of such risks and that they are appropriately managed and considered in the management of an entity's finances. The IPSASB staff will continue to monitor the situation and update this document as necessary.

This publication does not constitute an authoritative pronouncement of the IPSASB, nor does it intend to amend, or override the requirements of existing IPSAS Standards or provide further implementation guidance. This publication is not meant to be exhaustive and is not a substitute for reading the relevant IPSAS Standard.

Key Contacts

Ross Smith, IPSASB Program and Technical Director (rosssmith@ipsasb.org)

Edwin Ng, IPSASB Principal (edwinng@ipsasb.org)

International Public Sector Accounting Standards, IPSASB SRS Standards, Exposure Drafts, Consultation Papers, Recommended Practice Guidelines, and other IPSASB publications are published by, and copyright of, IFAC.

The IPSASB and IFAC do not accept responsibility for loss caused to any person who acts or refrains from acting in reliance on the material in this publication, whether such loss is caused by negligence or otherwise.

The 'International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board', 'International Public Sector Accounting Standards', 'IPSASB Sustainability Reporting Standards', 'Recommended Practice Guidelines', 'International Federation of Accountants', 'IPSASB', 'IPSAS', 'IPSASB SRS', 'RPG', 'IFAC', the IPSASB logo, and IFAC logo are trademarks of IFAC, or registered trademarks and service marks of IFAC in the US and other countries.

Copyright © February 2026 by the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC). All rights reserved.

Use Restriction: This publication and the information contained herein may not be used for training, developing, or operating artificial intelligence (AI) systems or tools, including large language models, machine learning algorithms, or other automated systems, without the prior written permission of IFAC.

For copyright, trademark, and permissions information, please go to **permissions** or contact **permissions@ifac.org**.