(NBAA)

THE NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCOUNTANTS AND AUDITORS



TEL NOS: +255 26 2963318-9 E-MAIL: info@nbaa.go.tz WEBSITE: www.nbaa.go.tz NATIONAL AUDIT OFFICE "AUDIT HOUSE", 8TH FLOOR, 4 UKAGUZI ROAD, P. O. BOX 1271, 41104 TAMBUKARELI, DODOMA, TANZANIA

Date: 30th October, 2020

Chief Executive Officer, International Federation of Accountants, International Public Sector Accounting Standard Board, 529 5th Avenue New York, New York 10017.

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: COMMENTS ON EXPOSURE DRAFT 70 – REVENUE WITH PERFORMANC OBLIGATIONS

Refer to the heading above.

NBAA as the PAO responsible for the professional training, development and regulation of the accountancy profession in Tanzania and as the member board of the International Federation of Accountants welcomes the opportunity to provide you with our comments on the Exposure Draft no. 70 – Revenue with Performance Obligations.

In principle, we are supportive with all of the proposals in ED 70, however, with the following issue entailed below additional help is expected to be brought about by the Board:

Specific Matter for Comment 1:

This Exposure Draft is based on IFRS 15, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. Because in some jurisdictions public sector entities may not have the power to enter into legal contracts, the IPSASB decided that the scope of this Exposure Draft would be based around binding arrangements. Binding arrangements have been defined as conferring both enforceable rights and obligations on both parties to the arrangement.

Do you agree that the scope of this Exposure Draft is clear? If not, what changes to the scope of the Exposure Draft or the definition of binding arrangements would you make?

Yes: We do agree with the proposed scope.

Specific Matter for Comment 1:

This Exposure Draft has been developed along with [draft] IPSAS [X] (ED 71), *Revenue without Performance Obligations*, and [draft] IPSAS [X] (ED 72), *Transfer Expenses*, because there is an interaction between them. Although there is an interaction between the three Exposure Drafts, the IPSASB decided that even though ED 72 defines transfer expense, ED 70 did not need to define "transfer revenue" or "transfer revenue with performance obligations" to clarify the mirroring relationship between the exposure drafts. The rationale for this decision is set out in paragraphs BC20–BC22.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's decision not to define "transfer revenue" or "transfer revenue with performance obligations"? If not, why not?

Yes: We do agree with the decision.

Specific Matter for Comment 3:

Because the IPSASB decided to develop two revenue standards—this Exposure Draft on revenue with performance obligations and ED 71 on revenue without performance obligations—the IPSASB decided to provide guidance about accounting for transactions with components relating to both exposure drafts. The application guidance is set out in paragraphs AG69 and AG70.

Do you agree with the application guidance? If not, why not?

Yes: We do agree with the application guidance.

Specific Matter for Comment 4:

The IPSASB decided that this Exposure Draft should include the disclosure requirements that were in IFRS 15. However, the IPSASB acknowledged that those requirements are greater than existing revenue standards.

Do you agree that the disclosure requirements should be aligned with those in IFRS 15, and that no disclosure requirements should be removed? If not, why not?

Yes: We do agree but the disclosures should be aligned to reflect public sector specific revenue related circumstances.

Specific Matter for Comment 5:

In developing this Exposure Draft, the IPSASB noted that some public sector entities may be compelled to enter into binding arrangements to provide goods or services to parties who do not have the ability or intention to pay. As a result, the IPSASB decided to add a disclosure requirement about such transactions in paragraph 120. The rationale for this decision is set out in paragraphs BC38–BC47.

Do you agree with the decision to add the disclosure requirement in paragraph 120 for disclosure of information on transactions which an entity is compelled to enter into by legislation or other governmental policy decisions? If not, why not?

Yes: We do agree with the board's decision.

If you require any clarification on our comments, please contact the undersigned.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

CPA Angyelile V. Tende

For: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



