

25 October 2021

John Stanford Technical Director International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board 277 Wellington Street West Toronto Ontario M5V 3H2 Canada

Dear John,

IPSASB Exposure Draft 77, Measurement

The Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Exposure Draft (ED) 77, Measurement.

Please find below the responses which we have provided to the questions raised in the Exposure Draft.

Kindly contact us using the details below should you require any additional information or clarification; <u>cliff.nyandoro@icpak.com</u> Tel: +254 721 578 138.

CPA Cliff Nyandoro

indoro

Head of Technical Services (Accounting and Auditing Standards) For: Professional Standards Committee

Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya CPA Centre, 10th Floor, Ruaraka, Thika Road P.O. Box 59963 - 00200 Nairobi Kenya Cell: +254 719 074 000 +254 733 856 262 Web: www.icpak.com Drop in box no. 164 Revion Professional Plaza



EXPOSURE DRAFT 77, MEASUREMENT

Specific Matter for Comment 1 (paragraphs 7–16):

Do you agree an item that qualifies for recognition shall be initially measured at its transaction price, unless:

• That transaction price does not faithfully present relevant information of the entity in a manner that is useful in holding the entity to account, and for decision-making purposes; or

• Otherwise required or permitted by another IPSAS?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly what principles are more appropriate, and why.

We are in agreement.

Specific Matter for Comment 2 (paragraph 17):

Do you agree after initial measurement, unless otherwise required by the relevant IPSAS, an accounting policy choice is made to measure the item at historical cost or at its current value? This accounting policy choice is reflected through the selection of the measurement model. If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly what principles are more appropriate, and why.

Yes, the policy choice should be made to ensure consistency.

Specific Matter for Comment 3—Appendix A (paragraphs A1–A6):

In response to constituents' comment letters on the Consultation Paper, *Measurement*, guidance on historical cost has been developed that is generic in nature (Appendix A: Historical Cost). Do you agree the guidance is appropriate for application by public sector entities? If not, please provide your reasons, stating what guidance should be added or removed, and why.

Yes, we are in agreement that the guidance is appropriate for application by public sector entities.

Specific Matter for Comment 4—Appendix A (paragraphs A1–A6):

Do you agree no measurement techniques are required when applying the historical cost measurement basis in subsequent measurement?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating which measurement techniques are applicable to the subsequent measurement of an asset or liability measured at historical cost, and why.

We agree.

Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya CPA Centre, 10th Floor, Ruaraka, Thika Road P.O. Box 59963 - 00200 Nairobi Kenya Cell: +254 719 074 000 +254 733 856 262 Web: www.icpak.com Drop in box no. 164 Revion Professional Plaza



Specific Matter for Comment 5 (paragraph 6):

Do you agree current operational value is the value of an asset used to achieve the entity's service delivery objectives at the measurement date?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly what principles more appropriate for the public sector, and why.

Not entirely, because the Exposure Draft includes an Alternative View on current operational value.

Specific Matter for Comment 6 Appendix B (paragraphs B1–B41):

Do you agree the proposed definition of current operational value and the accompanying guidance is appropriate for public sector entities (Appendix B: Current Operational Value)?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly what definition and guidance is more appropriate, and why.

Yes, we agree.

Specific Matter for Comment 7—Appendix B (paragraphs B6–B7):

Do you agree the asset's current operational value should assume that the notional replacement will be situated in the same location as the existing asset is situated or used? If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly why the asset should be measured at a different value.

No, asset's current operational value should not assume that the notional replacement will be situated in the same location as the existing asset is situated or used because management may make decisions that will have the replacement done in another location/branch.

Specific Matter for Comment 8 (paragraphs B38–B39):

Do you agree the income approach is applicable to estimate the value of an asset measured using the current operational value measurement basis?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly why the income approach is not applicable for measuring current operational value.

Yes, we agree.

Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya CPA Centre, 10th Floor, Ruaraka, Thika Road P.O. Box 59963 - 00200 Nairobi Kenya Cell: +254 719 074 000 +254 733 856 262 Web: www.icpak.com Drop in box no. 164 Revion Professional Plaza



Specific Matter for Comment 9—Appendix C (paragraphs C1–C89):

In response to constituents' comment letters on the Consultation Paper, *Measurement*, guidance on fair value has been aligned with IFRS 13, *Fair Value Measurement* (Appendix C: Fair Value). Do you agree the guidance is appropriate for application by public sector entities? If not, please provide your reasons, stating what guidance should be added or removed, and why.

We agree that the guidance is appropriate for application by public sector entities.

Specific Matter for Comment 10—Appendix D (paragraphs D1–D48):

In response to constituents' comment letters on the Consultation Paper, *Measurement*, guidance on cost of fulfillment has been aligned with existing principles in the Conceptual Framework and throughout IPSAS (Appendix D: Cost of Fulfillment). Do you agree the guidance is appropriate for application by public sector entities?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating what guidance should be added or removed, and why.

We agree.

Specific Matter for Comment 11:

Do you agree measurement disclosure requirements should be included in the IPSAS to which the asset or liability pertains and not in ED 77?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly where the measurement disclosure requirements should be included, and why.

Yes, we are in agreement.

Specific Matter for Comment 12:

Are there any measurement disclosure requirements that apply across IPSAS that should be included in ED 77, *Measurement*?

If yes, please provide your reasons, stating clearly what the disclosures are, and why.

None at the moment.

Specific Matter for Comment 13:

Do you agree current value model disclosure requirements should be applied consistently across IPSAS? For example, the same disclosure requirements should apply to inventory and property, plant, and equipment when measured at fair value.

Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya CPA Centre, 10th Floor, Ruaraka, Thika Road P.O. Box 59963 - 00200 Nairobi Kenya Cell: +254 719 074 000 +254 733 856 262 Web: www.icpak.com Drop in box no. 164 Revion Professional Plaza



If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly which IPSAS require more or fewer measurement disclosures, and why.

We agree, but there will need to be flexibility for additional disclosure where necessary to provide more information and clarity on the transaction being reported.

Specific Matter for Comment 14:

Do you agree with the proposal disclosure requirements for items remeasured under the current value model at each reporting date should be more detailed as compared to disclosure requirements for items measured using the current value model at acquisition as proposed in Appendix E: Amendments to Other IPSAS?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly why disclosure requirements should be consistent for recurring items and non-recurring items measured using the current value model.

Yes, we are in agreement.

Specific Matter for Comment 15:

Do you agree fair value disclosure requirements should include requirements to disclose inputs to the fair value hierarchy?

If not, please provide your reasons, stating clearly why disclosure requirements for inputs in the fair value hierarchy are unnecessary.

Yes, we agree for purposes of ensuring better understanding and clarity on the process of obtaining the fair values.



Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya CPA Centre, 10th Floor, Ruaraka, Thika Road P.O. Box 59963 - 00200 Nairobi Kenya Cell: +254 719 074 000 +254 733 856 262 Web: www.icpak.com Drop in box no. 164 Revion Professional Plaza