FEES QUESTIONNAIRE

IESBA Seeks Your View about the Level of Fees Charged by Audit Firms

The level of fees charged by audit firms is considered by some stakeholders as an element that may affect auditor <u>independence</u> and a professional accountant's ability to comply with the <u>fundamental principles</u> in the <u>IESBA Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants</u> (the IESBA Code), particularly professional competence and due care. Auditor independence and compliance with the fundamental principles of ethics instill confidence in, and increase the credibility of, financial information, thereby contributing to audit quality.

The IESBA is keen on further understanding whether and, if so, how the level of fees charged by audit firms affect compliance with fundamental the principles and auditor independence. The IESBA seeks to understand these matters in order to determine whether and how the IESBA Code should be further enhanced to address issues relating to the level of fees charged by audit firms. In this regard, the IESBA established a Fees Working Group in 2016 to undertake this work and make recommendations by 2018. The Working Group commenced its work with commissioning a summary of research on the topic of fees.

The IESBA narrowed its focus on the following in relation to the level of fees charged by audit firms:

- Downward pressure on audit fees;
- High dependence of audit fees from a client, at the firm and engagement level;
- High ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees from an audit client; and
- Non-audit fees as high percentage of the firm's revenue in relation to audit fees.

The January 2016 IESBA Staff publication, <u>Ethical</u> <u>Considerations Relating to Audit Fee Setting in the Context of Downward Fee Pressure</u> responds to certain stakeholders' concerns about downward pressure on fees being a factor, potentially adversely impacting audit quality.

This Fees Questionnaire is the final phase of the Working Group's fact-finding. The Working Group invites you to share your views and perspectives on the topic by responding to the questions in Section A, Respondent Classification, and one set of the questions in Section B, Survey Questions, pertaining to your classification. Your responses will help shape IESBA's understanding of fee-related issues and may also inform an appropriate response. The Appendix to this Questionnaire includes contextual information about the IESBA's Fees Initiative and a list of defined terms that might be useful in responding to the questions in Section B.

Respondents are asked to submit their completed questionnaires in PDF electronically through the IESBA website, using the "Submit a Comment" link. Completed questionnaires are requested by February 1, 2018. Also, please note that first-time users must register to use this feature. All completed questionnaires will be considered a matter of public record and will ultimately be posted on the website. Although the IESBA prefers that the questionnaires are submitted via its website, they can also be sent to Ken Siong, IESBA Technical Director at KenSiong@ethicsboard.org.

Section A: Respondent Classification

1.	In which country or jurisdiction do you work or serve? (If international, please indicate so; if				
	a region of the world, please indicate which region.)				
	International				

2. Please indicate which of the following best describes your role:

Role (Please select the most appropriate category)	Relevant Survey Questions
Investors and investor representatives	<u>B.1</u>
Other users of financial statements (e.g., Analyst, Customer, Creditor/Supplier, Lender), please specify:	<u>B.1</u>
Those charged with governance (TCWG), including Audit Committees and Board of Directors	<u>B.3</u>
Regulators and audit oversight authorities	<u>B.4</u>
National standard setters	<u>B.5</u>
Internal auditors	<u>B.6</u>
Accounting firms and individual professional accountants in public practice (PAPPs)	<u>B.2</u>
Preparers and other professional accountants in business (PAIBs)	<u>B.6</u>
Public sector organizations	<u>B.6</u>
IFAC member bodies	<u>B.5</u>
_ Academics	<u>B.6</u>
☐ Other, please specify: IFAC SMP Committee	<u>B.6</u>

3.	Would you	be willing to	be contacted	for an i	interview	on the to _l	pic of fees?	?
----	-----------	---------------	--------------	----------	-----------	------------------------	--------------	---

0	Yes √	

No

4. Please provide the following contact information (optional):

Your name and job title/role: Monica Foerster, Chair,

Your email address: monica@confidor.com.br

Your organization's name: IFAC SMP Committee

Section B: Survey Questions

Using the table in Section A as a guide, please answer the set of questions that best applies to your role.

Highlights of Provisions Relating to Fees in the IESBA Code

The IESBA Code requires firms to evaluate the significance of threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and independence and either eliminate them or reduce them to an acceptable level. In relation to fees, the IESBA Code notes that there may be threats to compliance with the fundamental principles arising from the level of fees quoted. For instance, a self-interest threat to professional competence and due care is created when the fees quoted is so low that it may be difficult to perform the engagement with the necessary standards for that price. Also, a self-interest or intimidation threat may be created when:

- The total fees from an audit or assurance client represent a large proportion of the firm's total fees as a result of dependence on that client and a concern about losing the client.
- The fees generated from an audit or assurance client represent a large proportion of the revenue of an individual partner or an individual office of the firm.

The IESBA Code includes examples of safeguards that firms are required to apply to deal with such threats created by the level of fees charged. In addition, for audit clients that are public interest entities, the IESBA Code requires firms to disclose to those charged with governance of the audit client any fees received that represent more than 15% of the firm'stotal fees for two consecutive years, and the safeguards applied by the firm.

B.1. Investors and Other Users of Financial Statements

General

Do you believe that the IESBA Code establishes sufficient and appropriate <u>provisions</u> to help professional accountants and firms deal with <u>threats</u> to compliance with the fundamental princ and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that th IESBA Code appropriately deals with the issues you identified in Q1?			
professional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental prince and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the			
	professional and independ	accountants and firms deal with <u>thr</u> dence that might be created by the	reats to compliance with the fundamental prince level of fees charged? Do you believe that the

3.	char	What do you believe should be done to respond appropriately to concerns about the level of fees charged by audit firms? What should be IESBA's role? Who else should play a role and what should that role be?					
Non-	Audit	Services					
4.	-	our opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an audit or assurance of the create threats to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more answers):					
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?					
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?					
		Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?					
		None of the above.					
5.	In your opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following be impacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-audit services to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):						
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?					
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?					
		None of the above.					
B.2.	2. Accounting Firms and Other PAPPs						
Gene	eral						
1.	•	ou believe that the level of fees charged by an audit firm gives rise to ethics and/or pendence issues? Please explain your response.					

i	What policies and procedures does your firm have in place to deal with threats that might be created by the level of fees charged? For example, does your firm monitor client revenues to dentify possible fee-related ethical issues such as a self-interest threat created by over-reliance ees (e.g., by office, individual engagement partners or other method)? If so, please explain.
į	Do you believe that the IESBA Code establishes sufficient and appropriate <u>provisions</u> to help professional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental principle and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the ESBA Code appropriately deals with the issues you identified in Q1?
	Do you believe that there are aspects of your firm's policies and procedures described in Q2 about that are more stringent than the provisions in the IESBA Code? If so, please explain why.
١	What do you believe should be done to respond appropriately to concerns about the level of fee charged by audit firms? What should be IESBA's role? Who else should play a role and what
	should that role be?
	should that role be?

Non-Audit Services

6.	As a •	matter of policy, does your firm provide non-audit services to audit and assurance clients? If yes, are there certain types of services beyond those prohibited by the IESBA Code that your firm does not provide? Please provide some examples.			
	•	If no, why?			
7.	-	ur opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an audit or assurance at create threats to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more answers):			
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?			
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?			
		Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?			
		None of the above.			
8.	In your opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following be impacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-audit services to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):				
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?			
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?			
		None of the above.			
B.3.	3. TCWG, Including Audit Committees and Board of Directors				
Gene	ral				
1. What role does the level of fees play in your considerati independent auditor?		t role does the level of fees play in your consideration of the appointment of your organization's pendent auditor?			

3.	Do you believe that the level of fees charged by an audit firm gives rise to ethics and/or independence issues? Please explain your response.
1.	Do you believe that the IESBA Code establishes sufficient and appropriate <u>provisions</u> to help professional accountants and firms deal with <u>threats</u> to compliance with the fundamental principles
	and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the IESBA Code appropriately deals with the issues you identified in Q3?
	and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the
	and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the
5.	and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the

Non-Audit Services

j.	•	you engage your audit firm for any non-audit services? If yes, please describe the process used oprove the provision of such services. If no, why not?
	-	our opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an audit or assurance nt create threats to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more answers):
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?
		Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?
		None of the above.
	be ir	our opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following impacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-auditices to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?
		None of the above.
.4.	Reg	ulators and Audit Oversight Authorities
ene	eral	
-	-	ou believe that the level of fees charged by an audit firm gives rise to ethics and/or pendence issues? Please explain your response.

- 2. Has your organization identified from inspections, disciplinary investigations or other means, any fee-related issues that might have created threats to compliance with the fundamental principles or to independence? If so, please describe the finding. For example:
 - What was the nature of the issue?

•	How frequently did it occur and what was the severity?
•	Did the firm appropriately deal with the issue? If not, do you believe that there are impediments that might have affected the firm's response, and if so, what were they?
aud	es your organization have any other concerns about the level of fees charged for audit or non- it services? If yes, please describe them and their basis. Does your organization have any ent or proposed initiatives to deal with those concerns?
prof	you believe that the IESBA Code establishes sufficient and appropriate <u>provisions</u> to help essional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental principles independence that might be created by the level of fees charged?
of fe	you believe that there are certain regulatory requirements in your jurisdiction relating to the level ees charged by audit firms are more stringent than the provisions in the IESBA Code? If so, ase explain why.

cha	What do you believe should be done to respond appropriately to concerns about the level of fees charged by audit firms? What should be IESBA's role? Who else should play a role and what should that role be?					
 n-Audi	t Services					
(a)	our jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charged for audit and assurance engagements; and (b) non-audit services provided to audit and assurance nts?					
	our opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an audit or assurance ont create threats to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more answers):					
	Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?					
	The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?					
	Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?					
	None of the above.					
be i	our opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following mpacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-audit vices to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):					
	Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?					
□	The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?					
	None of the above.					

B.5. National Standard Setters and IFAC Member Bodies

General

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ted issues that might have created threats to compliance with the fundamental principles bendence? If so, please describe the finding. For example: What was the nature of the issue? How frequently did it occur and what was the severity? What was the nature of the issue? If not, do you believe that there are
ted issues that might have created threats to compliance with the fundamental principles bendence? If so, please describe the finding. For example: What was the nature of the issue? How frequently did it occur and what was the severity? What was the nature of the issue?
What was the nature of the issue? Iow frequently did it occur and what was the severity? Did the firm appropriately deal with the issue? If not, do you believe that there are
low frequently did it occur and what was the severity?
oid the firm appropriately deal with the issue? If not, do you believe that there are
our organization have any other concerns about the level of fees charged for audit or non ervices? If yes, please describe them and their basis. Does your organization have any or proposed initiatives to deal with those concerns?

professional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental princip
and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged?
Do you believe that there are certain regulatory requirements in your jurisdiction relating to the of fees charged by audit firms that are more stringent than the provisions in the IESBA Code? please explain why.
What do you believe should be done to respond appropriately to concerns about the level of fee charged by audit firms? What should be IESBA's role? Who else should play a role and what should that role be?
should that fole be?
udit Services
udit Services In your jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charge
udit Services In your jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charge (a) audit and assurance engagements; and (b) non-audit services provided to audit and assurar
udit Services In your jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charged (a) audit and assurance engagements; and (b) non-audit services provided to audit and assurar
udit Services In your jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charged (a) audit and assurance engagements; and (b) non-audit services provided to audit and assurar
udit Services In your jurisdiction, are there specific regulatory provisions that apply to the level of fees charged (a) audit and assurance engagements; and (b) non-audit services provided to audit and assurar

 In your opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more ans 			
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?	
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?	
		Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?	
		None of the above.	
9.	In your opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following be impacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-audit services to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):		
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?	
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?	
		None of the above.	
D 6	Otho	are Including Propagate and Academics	

B.6. Others, Including Preparers and Academics

1. Do you believe that the level of fees charged by an audit firm gives rise to ethics and/or independence issues? Please explain your response.

The SMPC acknowledges that in some cases, undue dependency on income from a particular audit client might potentially impact the objectivity of the auditors, in particular the public perception of it, even if not in reality. The relevant ethical requirements outlined in International Standard on Quality Control 1, Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Statements and Other Assurance and Related Services Engagements (ISQC 1), together with the provisions in the IESBA Code provide measures to act as safeguards in many instances.

2. Do you believe that the IESBA Code establishes sufficient and appropriate <u>provisions</u> to help professional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and independence that might be created by the level of fees charged? Do you believe that the IESBA Code appropriately deals with the issues you identified in Q1?

The <u>IFAC Global SMP Surveys</u> have consistently found that experiencing pressure to lower fees is one of the top challenges facing small- and medium-sized practices (SMPs). The <u>previous staff publication</u> by the IESBA on downward fee pressure importantly highlights the role of other stakeholders in ensuring that financial considerations in relation to audit fees do not drive actions and decisions that impair audit quality. A collective effort is needed to ensure that audit is viewed as a valuable service and not dismissed as being a mere commodity to be obtained at the lowest price possible.

Low fees for audit engagements can limit the ability of firms to properly remunerate their staff and invest in other necessary tools, which may lead to difficulties in attracting and

retaining talented staff. Over time, this may impact audit quality, which is not in the public interest. However, the SMPC believes that the IESBA Code, together with ISQC 1, establishes sufficient and appropriate provisions to help professional accountants and firms deal with threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and independence.

3. What do you believe should be done to respond appropriately to concerns about the level of fees charged by audit firms? What should be IESBA's role? Who else should play a role and what should that role be?

We suspect that concerns about the level of fees charged by audit firms are primarily a perception issue. In our view, this issue could be partly addressed through greater education. We suggest that one of IESBA roles could be enhancing its outreach activities to educate the public and other stakeholders about how the restructured code, with its emphasis on compliance with the fundamental principles addresses the issues at a holistic level as currently, there appears to be a lack of awareness in many regions. This may involve coordination with Professional Accountancy Organizations (PAOs) to be cognizant of different jurisdictions laws and regulations.

In addition, as mentioned under Q2 above, the IESBA, IAASB, PAOs, as well as other stakeholders all have a significant role to play in ensuring that audit is perceived as a valuable service. Such dialogue should continue to take place, especially with management and those charged with governance.

In addition, IESBA should consider producing practical guidance and case studies as part of its roll-out initiatives under the restructured Code. Guidance materials and case studies which can be customized by PAOs can assist the profession to enhance the competencies of professional accountants when managing potential conflicts with respect to audit fees and the possible consequences, if any, on audit quality and independence.

Non-Audit Services

l.	In your opinion, would a high ratio of non-audit fees to audit fees charged to an audit or assurance client create threats to an auditor's compliance with (Please select one or more answers):			
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?		
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?		
		Independence as defined by the IESBA Code?		
		None of the above.		
	<mark>In o</mark> u	ur view, the response to this question will depend on the individual circumstances of		

In our view, the response to this question will depend on the individual circumstances of practice and client. All the answers provided herein do not appear to envisage the multifaceted circumstances that may typically be encountered in a practice environment. Hence, we have chosen not to answer this question.

5.	In your opinion, would a professional accountant's or the firm's compliance with one of the following be impacted if a high percentage of that firm's revenue is generated from providing non-audit services to the firm's clients (Please select one or more answers):			
		Professional competence and due care as defined by the IESBA Code?		
		The other fundamental principles that are included in the IESBA Code – integrity, objectivity, professional behavior and confidentiality?		
		None of the above.		

In our view, the response to this question will depend on the individual circumstances of practice and client. All the answers provided herein do not appear to envisage the multifaceted circumstances that may typically be encountered in a practice environment. Hence, we have chosen not to answer this question.

Additional Information

A. Contextual Information about the IESBA's Fees Initiative

The IESBA established a Fees Working Group in March 2016 to conduct fact-finding about whether there is a relationship between fees and threats to compliance with the fundamental principles or to independence, or whether there are reasonable perceptions that such threats exist, as well as how such threats might be addressed. This work is responsive to concerns raised by stakeholders, in particular regulators and audit oversight authorities. The Working Group's fact finding is focused on obtaining an understanding of the following four areas:

- Level of audit fees for individual audit engagements.
- Relative size of fees to the partner, office or the firm, and the extent to which partners' remuneration is dependent upon fees from a particular client.
- The ratio of non-audit services fees to audit fees paid by an audit client.
- The provision of audit services by a firm that also has a significant non-audit services business.

As part of this initiative, the IESBA commissioned Prof. David Hay, Professor of Auditing, University of Auckland, New Zealand to undertake a review of the relevant academic and other literature on the topic of audit fees for the period between 2006 and 2016. Prof. Hay observed that the findings with respect to the Working Group's four areas of focus were generally mixed. However, Prof. Hay observed that there has been consistent research findings that suggest a link between threats to independence in appearance and higher non-audit fees charged by audit firms to their audit clients.

The Working Group is expected to complete its fact finding and develop its final recommendations to the IESBA in 2018.

B. Defined Terms

1. Independence

The IESBA Code explains that independence comprises the following two separate elements:

- (a) Independence of Mind The state of mind that permits the expression of a conclusion without being affected by influences that compromise professional judgment, thereby allowing an individual to act with integrity and exercise objectivity and professional skepticism.
- (b) Independence in Appearance The avoidance of facts and circumstances that are so significant that a reasonable and informed third party would be likely to conclude, weighing all the specific facts and circumstances, that a firm's, or a member of the audit team's, integrity, objectivity or professional skepticism has been compromised.

An accounting firm or individual PAPP must ensure both elements of independence are not compromised.

2. The Fundamental Principles

Professional accountants must comply with the five fundamental principles of professional ethics which are described in the IESBA Code as follows:

- (a) Integrity to be straightforward and honest in all professional and business relationships.
- (b) Objectivity to not allow bias, conflict of interest or undue influence of others to override professional or business judgments.
- (c) Professional Competence and Due Care to maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that a client or employer receives competent professional service based on current developments in practice, legislation and techniques and act diligently and in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards.
- (d) Confidentiality to respect the confidentiality of information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships and, therefore, not disclose any such information to third parties without proper and specific authority, unless there is a legal or professional right or duty to disclose, nor use the information for the personal advantage of the professional accountant or third parties.
- (e) Professional Behavior to comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any action that discredits the profession.

The fundamental principles establish the standard of behavior expected of professional accountants. The IESBA Code also describes a conceptual framework which establishes the approach which all accountants are required to apply to assist them in achieving compliance with those fundamental principles.

3. Threats

The IESBA Code explains that threats to compliance with the fundamental principles and independence fall into one or more of the following categories:

- (a) Self-interest threat the threat that a financial or other interest will inappropriately influence a professional accountant's judgment or behavior;
- (b) Self-review threat the threat that a professional accountant will not appropriately evaluate the results of a previous judgment made; or an activity performed by the accountant, or by another individual within the accountant's firm or employing organization, on which the accountant will rely when forming a judgment as part of performing a current activity;
- (c) Advocacy threat the threat that a professional accountant will promote a client's or employing organization's position to the point that the accountant's objectivity is compromised;
- (d) Familiarity threat the threat that due to a long or close relationship with a client, or employing organization, a professional accountant will be too sympathetic to their interests or too accepting of their work; and
- (e) Intimidation threat the threat that a professional accountant will be deterred from acting objectively because of actual or perceived pressures, including attempts to exercise undue influence over the accountant.