

October 17, 2022

Program & Technical Director International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board 227 Wellington Street West Toronto, ON M5V 3H2 Canada

Dear Sir/Madam,

REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC SECTOR ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (IPSASB) CONSULTATION PAAPER ON NATURAL RESOURCES

The Financial Reporting Council of Nigeria (FRC) hereby avails its input alongside its constituents in Nigeria on the IPSASB Consultation Paper on Natural Resources.



Preliminary View 1—Chapter 1

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that a natural resource can be generally described as an item which:

(a) Is a resource as described in the IPSASB's Conceptual Framework;

- (b) Is naturally occurring; and
- (c) Is in its natural state.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View, particularly whether the requirement to be in its natural state should be used to scope what is considered a natural resource?

If not, please provide your reasons.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary View as described.

Specific Matter for Comment 1—Chapter 1

The IPSASB's preliminary description of natural resources delineates between natural resources and other resources based on whether the item is in its natural state.

Do you foresee any challenges in practice in differentiating between natural resources and other resources subject to human intervention? If so, please provide details of your concerns. How would you envisage overcoming these challenges?

The Council does not foresee any challenges in practice in differentiating between natural resources and other resources as the Conceptual Framework can guide in this regard.



Specific Matter for Comment 2—Chapter 1

The IPSASB noted that the natural resources project and sustainability reporting in the public sector are connected in that this project focuses on the accounting for natural resources while sustainability reporting may include consideration of how natural resources can be used in a sustainable manner.

In your view, do you see any other connections between these two projects?

There is a connection between Natural Resources and Sustainability Reporting in terms of Climate Change and there is also connection in terms of management of the two projects.

Preliminary View 2—Chapter 2

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that a natural resource should only be recognized in GPFS if it meets the definition of an asset as defined in the IPSASB's Conceptual Framework and can be measured in a way that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of constraints on information in GPFRs.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.



Preliminary View 3—Chapter 3

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that guidance on exploration and evaluation expenditures, as well as development costs, should be provided based on the guidance from IFRS 6, *Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources*, and IAS 38, *Intangible Assets*.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary view.

Preliminary View 4—Chapter 3

The IPSASB's Preliminary View is that IPSAS 12, IPSAS 17, and IPSAS 31 should be supplemented as appropriate with guidance on the accounting for costs of stripping activities based on IFRIC 20, *Stripping Costs in the Production Phase of a Surface Mine*.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.



Preliminary View 5—Chapter 3

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that, before consideration of existence uncertainty, an unextracted subsoil resource can meet the definition of an asset because.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

Please provide the reasons supporting your view.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary view

Preliminary View 6—Chapter 3

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that existence uncertainty can prevent the recognition of unextracted subsoil resources.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's preliminary view?

Please provide the reasons supporting your view.

Preliminary View 7—Chapter 3

The IPSASB's preliminary view is that the selection of a measurement basis for subsoil resources that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of constraints on information in the GPFRs may not be feasible due to the high level of measurement uncertainty. Based on this view, the recognition of subsoil resources as assets in the GPFS will be challenging.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide the reasons supporting your view.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary view.

Preliminary View 8—Chapter 4

Based on the discussions in paragraphs 4.11-4.31, the IPSASB's preliminary views are:

- (a) It would be difficult to recognize water in seas, rivers, streams, lakes, or certain groundwater aquifers as an asset in the GPFS because it is unlikely that they will meet the definition of an asset, or it is unlikely that such water could be measured in a way that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of constraints on information in the GPFRs;
- (b) Water impounded in reservoirs, canals, and certain groundwater aquifers can meet the definition of an asset if the water is controlled by an entity;
- (c) Where water impounded in reservoirs and canals meets the definition of an asset, it may be possible to recognize the water in GPFS if the water can be measured in a way that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of constraints on information in the GPFRs; and
- (d) In situations where the financial capacity or operational capacity of a water resource cannot be reliably measured using currently available technologies and capabilities, the resource cannot be recognized as an asset in the GPFS.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons supporting your view.

Preliminary View 7—Chapter 3

Specific Matter for Comment 3—Chapter 5

Living organisms that are subject to human intervention are not living resources within the scope of this CP. The accounting treatment of those living organisms, and activities relating to them and to living resources, is likely to fall within the scope of existing IPSAS.

In your view, is there sufficient guidance in IPSAS 12, IPSAS 17, or IPSAS 27 on how to determine which IPSAS to apply for these items necessary?

If not, please explain the reasons for your view.

There is sufficient guidance in IPSAS 12, IPSAS 17 and IPSAS 27. accounting treatment of those living organisms, and activities relating to them and to living resources, is likely to fall within the scope of existing IPSAS.

Based on the discussions in paragraphs 5.18-5.41, the IPSASB's preliminary views are:

- (a) It is possible for a living resource held for financial capacity to meet the definition of an asset, be measurable in a way that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of the constraints on information in the GPFRs, and thus meet the criteria to be recognized as an asset in GPFS;
- (b) If a living resource with operational capacity meets the definition of an asset, an entity will need to exercise judgment to determine if it is feasible to measure the living resource in a way which achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of the constraints on information in the GPFRs, and so meet the criteria to be recognized as an asset in the GPFS; and
- (c) In situations where the financial capacity or operational capacity of a living resource cannot be measured in a way that achieves the qualitative characteristics and takes account of constraints on information in the GPFRs using currently available technologies and capabilities, the living resource cannot be recognized as an asset in the GPFS.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary view.

Preliminary View 10—Chapter 6

Based on the discussion in paragraphs 6.7-6.15, the IPSASB's preliminary view is that certain information conventionally disclosed in GPFS should be presented in relation to natural resources.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.

Preliminary View 11—Chapter 6

Based on the discussion in paragraphs 6.16-6.20, the IPSASB's preliminary view is that certain information conventionally found in broader GPFRs should be presented in relation to recognized or unrecognized natural resources that are relevant to an entity's long-term financial sustainability, financial statement discussion and analysis, and service performance reporting.

Do you agree with the IPSASB's Preliminary View?

If not, please provide your reasons.

The Council agrees with the IPSASB's Preliminary view.

Specific Matter for Comment 4—Chapter 6

The proposals in paragraphs 6.16-6.20 (Preliminary View 11) are largely based on the IPSASB's RPGs. While these proposals are expected to be helpful to users of the broader GPFRs, the information necessary to prepare these reports may be more challenging to obtain compared to the information required for traditional GPFS disclosures. As noted in paragraph 6.17, the application of the RPGs is

currently optional.

[Type here]

If you require any further information or clarification, do not hesitate to contact the Head, Directorate of Accounting Standards (Public Sector) on: <u>ioanyahara@frcnigeria.gov.ng</u>

Yours faithfully,

>C

Iheanyi O. Anyahara, PhD Head, Directorate of Accounting Standards – Public For: Executive Secretary/CEO